Global Environmental Psychology

Open Science and Transparency Summary

Document created: 2022-07-19

Manuscript Overview

Manuscript Title	**git the second**
Article type	**Research article**
Submission Form ID	lihas-zakar-potoh
First submitted	2022-07-18 06:59
Special Issue (if any)	
Presents empirical data	yes
Manuscript has an ethics statement	yes
Approved by ethics committee	yes
Comments about ethics	
Registered report	no
Replication	no
Pre-registered	yes
Submission form completed up to	Diversity

Transparency and Openness

Pre-registration Details

Location of pre-registration (URL)	sw.ch
Content of Pre-Registration:	
Theory	yes
Hypotheses	yes
Design	yes
Methods Materials	yes
Data Analyses	yes
Interpretation Guidelines	yes
If analyses (in addition to hypotheses) were pre-registered:	
Pre-registration fully describes intended analyses	no
Manuscript reports all pre-registered analyses	yes
Timing	**after all data were collected, but before exploring the data**
At another time:	
Additional pre-reg. other than the one reported	yes
Explanation	hkjökjhhh aflöakj. jjj
Any changes to pre-registration	yes
Manuscript fully describes these changes	no
Clear distinction pre-registered vs. not pre-registered analyses	yes
Comments about pre-registration	just fake

Reporting Standards

The following questions concern the principle of transparent and full reporting and thus should be implemented by each empirical study.

Questions Relevant for Most Types of Empirical Studies	
Does the manuscript fully describe the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication?	yes
Does the manuscript fully describe the measures of interest (e.g., pro-environmental attitude) and their operationalizations (e.g., a questionnaire measuring pro-environmental attitude)?	no
What type of data and analysis does your submission present?	Mixed (quant. and qual.) data
Other type of analysis (if applicable)	
Other reporting standard used (if applicable)	.kjgkjhgtttt kjjkhkjg.

If you believe your study should be exempted from a reporting requirement, please explain why	lökhjlkhlkjhglk
Other comments about your Methods, Results, or Discussion	kalösjflkj. ffff aökldjöfj.

Requirements for Quantitative Data

Does the manuscript fully describe the rationale for the sample size used?	yes
Were any observations / participants / data excluded from the analyses?	no
Does the manuscript report the total number of excluded observations?	🟨
Does the manuscript report all data exclusion criteria?	🟨
Does the manuscript report all levels of all independent variables (e.g., experimental groups)?	yes
Does the manuscript report all levels of all measures / dependent variables that were analysed for this article's target research question?	yes
Does the manuscript report estimates related to the reliability of measures (e.g., internal consistency, interrater reliability)?	**no**
Does the manuscript report basic descriptive statistics of all variables that are used in the analyses (n, mean, sd) for the total sample and for each subgroup (e.g., cells in experimental designs)?	**no**
Does the manuscript report all exact *p* values, effect sizes, and 95% CIs for inferential tests?	yes

Requirements for Qualitative Data

Manuscript provides general context (e.g., when / wehre data were collected)	**no**
Manuscript provides a rationale for recruiting and selecting participants	yes
Manuscript describes the rationale for decision to halt data collection	**no**
Manuscript reports key details about the data collection process (e.g., form of data collection; duration)	yes
Manuscript reports what data audio/visual recording methods, field notes, or transcription processes were used	yes
Manuscript reports key details for the data analysis process (e.g., analytic process, coding strategy)	yes
Manuscript demonstrate that findings are grounded in the evidence (e.g., using quotes or descriptions)	**no**

Additional Requirements for Mixed Data	
Manuscript describes the type of mixed methods design (e.g., convergent design, explanatory sequential design) using a major reference in the field	yes
Manuscript provides a rationale for the need to collect and integrate qualitative and quantitative data	yes
Methods and Results sections devote separate sections to each analysis (quantitative, qualitative, mixed)	**no**
Result section indicates how the qualitative and quantitative results were integrated (e.g., discussion, graphs)	**no**
Methods & Results of the manuscript consistently mirror the type of mixed methods design in terms of sequence (i.e., if quantitative or qualitative came first)	yes

Data, Code, and Materials Transparency

The following questions focus on the transparency of the data, methods, and materials involved in the research. The requested information and files should enable any researcher to replicating the research.

Open Data, Materials, and Code	
Data used in your research	Existing data that is available from a public repository
Other:	
Data publicly available	yes
Where:	kjhklj,bgg.nnn
Are all variables, treatment conditions, and observations included that are described in the manuscript	**no**
Codebook included	yes
Why not documented in a codebook	
Why are the data not publicly available (repository)	
Procedures used to collect, preprocess, clean, or generate the data fully described	**no**
All code and software publicly available	**no**
Where:	
Why not publicly available:	not my data, cannot share
Instructions, stimuli, and test materials publicly available	yes
Where:	osf.www
Why not publicly available:	
Steps others should follow to request access to data / materials not publicly shared	what?

Possible to share a subset of the data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared?	**no**
Possible to post data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared into a protected access repository	**no**
Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials	

Citation Standard

All data, programme code / scripts / syntax (e.g., from R, Stata, SPSS), materials, and other methods must be appropriately cited in the text and listed in the reference section. As such, these materials are recognized as original intellectual contributions and afforded recognition through citation.

Are all data, program code and other methods appropriately cited within the text and listed in the reference section?	yes
If no, please explain why these things were not cited within the text and/or not listed in the reference section.	
Are all data, code, and methods citations given unique, persistent IDs (e.g. DOI)?	**no**
If no, please explain why these items weren't given unique, prersistent IDs (e.g., DOI)?	didn't know this was necessary

Diversity

GEP strongly encourages to justify and extensively describe the sample(s) of empirical work, to discuss the generalizability of the insights (culture/context), and strive for diversity when citing previous work. If authors don't follow these guidelines, they should explain why.

We provided a justification for the population we investigated	yes
Why not?	
We provided an extensive sample description (e.g., study country, participants' language)	yes
Why not?	
We discussed the generalisability of our findings and implications in relation to culture and context	**no**
Why not?	lhlkjghjkgghg
We strived for diversity when citing previous work (e.g., gender, underrepresented geographical areas)	yes
Why not?	