

# **Open Science and Transparency Summary**

Document created: 2022-08-06

# **Manuscript Overview**

Manuscript Title	Saturday the second
Article type	Research article
Submission Form ID	lokaf-vohif-loruk
First submitted	2022-08-06 08:46
Special Issue (if any)	
Presents empirical data	yes
Type of data used	Existing data that is available from a public repository
Manuscript has an ethics statement	yes
Approved by ethics committee	yes
Comments about ethics	
Registered report	yes
Replication	no
Pre-registered	yes
Submission form completed up to	Diversity

# **Registered Report**

## Stage 1

Type of data	New, original data
Data already collected	no
Data already explored / analysed	

How / in what way?

Timeline for completing the study	10
Why longer than 9 months?	longitudinal design
Agree to share all data, digital materials,code	yes
Agree to register protocol	yes
Comments	

## **Transparency and Openness**

## **Pre-registration Details**

Location of pre-registration (URL)	www.osf.com/mydata
Content of Pre-Registration:	
Theory	yes
Hypotheses	yes
Design	yes
Methods Materials	yes
Data Analyses	yes
Interpretation Guidelines	no
If analyses (in addition to hypotheses) were pre-registered:	
Pre-registration fully describes intended analyses	yes
Manuscript reports all pre-registered analyses	yes
Timing	before any data were collected
At another time:	
Additional pre-reg. other than the one reported	no
Explanation	
Any changes to pre-registration	no
Manuscript fully describes these changes	
Clear distinction pre-registered vs. not pre-registered analyses	yes
Comments about pre-registration	

#### **Reporting Standards**

The following questions concern the principle of transparent and full reporting and thus should be implemented by each empirical study.

Questions Relevant for Most Types of Empirical Studies	
Does the manuscript fully describe the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication?	yes
Does the manuscript fully describe the measures of interest (e.g., pro-environmental attitude) and their operationalizations (e.g., a questionnaire measuring pro-environmental attitude)?	no
What type of data and analysis does your submission present?	Mixed (quant. and qual.) data
Other type of analysis (if applicable)	
Other reporting standard used (if applicable)	

If you believe your study should be exempted from a reporting requirement, please explain why

It is an analysis of existing documents. No new data gathered. I'm unsure how to report this.

Other comments about your Methods, Results, or Discussion

Requirements for Quantitative Data	
Does the manuscript fully describe the rationale for the sample size used?	yes
Were any observations / participants / data excluded from the analyses?	yes
Does the manuscript report the total number of excluded observations?	yes
Does the manuscript report all data exclusion criteria?	N/A
Does the manuscript report all levels of all independent variables (e.g., experimental groups)?	yes
Does the manuscript report all levels of all measures / dependent variables that were analysed for this article's target research question?	no
Does the manuscript report estimates related to the reliability of measures (e.g., internal consistency, interrater reliability)?	yes
Does the manuscript report basic descriptive statistics of all variables that are used in the analyses (n, mean, sd) for the total sample and for each subgroup (e.g., cells in experimental designs)?	N/A
Does the manuscript report all exact *p* values, effect sizes, and 95% CIs for inferential tests?	no
Requirements for Qualitative Data	
Manuscript provides general context (e.g., when / wehre data were collected)	yes
Manuscript provides a rationale for recruiting and selecting participants	no
Manuscript describes the rationale for decision to halt data collection	yes
Manuscript reports key details about the data collection process (e.g., form of data collection; duration)	yes
Manuscript reports what data audio/visual recording methods, field notes, or transcription processes were used	N/A
Manuscript reports key details for the data analysis process (e.g., analytic process, coding strategy)	N/A
Manuscript demonstrate that findings are grounded in the evidence (e.g., using quotes or descriptions)	yes

Manuscript describes the type of mixed methods design (e.g., convergent design, explanatory sequential design) using a major reference in the field

Additional Requirements for Mixed Data

yes

Manuscript provides a rationale for the need to collect and integrate qualitative and quantitative data	yes
Methods and Results sections devote separate sections to each analysis (quantitative, qualitative, mixed)	yes
Result section indicates how the qualitative and quantitative results were integrated (e.g., discussion, graphs)	yes
Methods & Results of the manuscript consistently mirror the type of mixed methods design in terms of sequence (i.e., if quantitative or qualitative came first)	no

## **Data, Code, and Materials Transparency**

The following questions focus on the transparency of the data, methods, and materials involved in the research. The requested information and files should enable any researcher to replicating the research.

Open Data, Materials, and Code	
Data used in your research	Existing data that is available from a public repository
Other:	
Data publicly available	no
Where:	
Why are the data not publicly available (repository)	already available, we included the link
Are all variables, treatment conditions, and observations included that are described in the manuscript	t
Codebook included	
Why not documented in a codebook	
Fully described the procedures used to collect, preprocess, clean, or generate the data	no
All code and software publicly available	yes
Where:	my.ch
Why not publicly available:	
Instructions, stimuli, and test materials publicly available	yes
	,
Where:	my.ch
Where: Why not publicly available:	
Why not publicly available:  Steps others should follow to request access to data / materials not	my.ch
Why not publicly available:  Steps others should follow to request access to data / materials not publicly shared  Possible to share a subset of the data, code, or other materials that	my.ch

#### **Citation Standard**

All data, programme code / scripts / syntax (e.g., from R, Stata, SPSS), materials, and other methods must be appropriately cited in the text and listed in the reference section. As such, these materials are recognized as original intellectual contributions and afforded recognition through citation.

Are all data, program code and other methods appropriately cited within the text and listed in the reference section?

If no, please explain why these things were not cited within the text and/or not listed in the reference section.

Are all data, code, and methods citations given unique, persistent IDs (e.g. DOI)?

If no, please explain why these items weren't given unique, prersistent IDs (e.g., DOI)?

#### **Diversity**

GEP strongly encourages to justify and extensively describe the sample(s) of empirical work, to discuss the generalizability of the insights (culture/context), and strive for diversity when citing previous work. If authors don't follow these guidelines, they should explain why.

We provided a justification for the population we investigated	no
Why not?	didn't know we had to
We provided an extensive sample description (e.g., study country, participants' language)	no
Why not?	ditto
We discussed the generalisability of our findings and implications in relation to culture and context	yes
Why not?	
We strived for diversity when citing previous work (e.g., gender, underrepresented geographical areas)	no
Why not?	,mnhmjgl